G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-93
~~191~~.
(Madras).

File No. 176

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Grant of Fatiha allowance for the performance of ceremonies at the tomb of Sree Gulam Ali at Arcot.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES

Home F 241 - 1893-4
242 — " —
191 — 1894-5 —
192 — " —

Treasy Ltr 155

1877

From The Asst Collector of N. A.
In charge of Stipend Pay Office
Vellore

To

176 of 1892

Dated 8th
Despatched
Received 11th } January

Enclosures No.

General No.
No. 4

With reference to the Proceedings of the Chief Commr of Mysore No 174 G of 29th December 1877, requests to obtain the orders of the Supreme Government on the subject of the continuance of the Fatehah allowance of Rs 23 in the name of Moosa Rezah the eldest brother of the deceased pensioner Gholam Hyamoot contra -

---

Sir,

In para 2 of this Office letter No 1450 of 29 October last the Govt of India were solicited to sanction also the grant of Rs 23 as the Fatehah allowance to Moosa Rezah, but no mention is made about it in their reply No 601 F of 18 Decr 1877 - May I be addressed to write a letter to India?

11-1-78
B. Ramakrishna
Asst

11.1.78

Drafted
11-1-78 B.R.

No. 4.

From,

W. P. Austin Esqre
Ag: Sub Collector of N.A.
In charge of Stipend Pay Office

To,

The Secretary
to the Chief Commissioner
of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore, General Department, dated 29th December 1877, — Communicating the sanction of the Governor General to the Continuance of 2/3ds of the Pension of the late Goolam Ezmatoollah, to his widow Padshaw Begum, I have the honor to point out that the question of the Continuance of the Takeah allowance of Rupees 23— in the name of Moosa Rezah, the eldest brother of the deceased pensioner, has been left undecided, and to request that the Chief Commissioner may be moved to obtain the Orders of the Supreme Government thereon. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

W Austin
Ag: Sub Collector of N.A.
In charge of Stipend Pay Office

Vellore
Stipend Pay Office
8th January 1878.

Ltd.

1879 Consular No. 1879.

To The Officiating Under Secretary to the
Government of India
- Foreign Department
Calcutta.

Dated 7 Deep: 14th January

No 187 A.

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith a Return of Slaves manumitted by me during the year 1878 in accordance with the provisions of Act V of 1843 and Government of India Circular No 218 of 8/25 - 2 - 1862.

Copy also of the declaration of the master of the slave Moosa Rezin referred to in para 2 of this Office letter No 1450 dated 27th October 1877.

Pol. & Pric. Depts.

1878.

From

The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No.

1878

From The Offg Under Secretary to the Government of India - Foreign Department

To

Dated 9th
Despatched
Received 18th
} February

Enclosures No.

General No.

No. 115-F.

In reply to letter No 1820 dated 14th Ultimo conveys sanction to the grant of the Hatab allowance of Rs 23 enjoyed by the late Gholam Uzzmootoolla, to his Elder brother Moosa Rezvi.

Copy sent to the Auditor General with No 215 dated 25th February 1878.

Feb 5 to 18 - 2

No. 115 F.

From The Offg Under Secretary to the Government of India

To The Chief Commissioner of Mysore

Dy Fort William, the 9th February 1878

*Foreign Dept.

Finance.

Sir,

In reply to your Offg Secretary's letter, No. 182 P. dated 14th Ultimo, I am directed to say that the Governor General in Council sanctions the grant of the Fatiah allowance of Rupees 23 per mensem enjoyed by the late Gholam Uzamoodolla, a Mysore State pensioner, to his eldest brother Moosa Rezah, with effect from the 9th January 1877, the day following the death of its holder.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obed. Servant

W Under

Offg Under Secretary to the
Govt of India

Tolli 6/2

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore,
General Department, 25th February 1878.

Read

letter No 182 P. dy 14th January 1878, to the Secretary to the Govt of India, Foreign Dept.
Letter No 1157 H dy 9th February 1878, from the Offg Under Secretary to the Govt of India, Foreign Dept.

No. 218 G. Dy Bangalore
February 1878.

Order Thereon.— The Subcollector in charge of the Stipend Pay Office at Vellore will be informed, with reference to the application made in his letter No 4 dy 8th January 1878, that H.E. the V. dy G. in Council has been pleased to convey sanction in the letter above read, to the grant of the Fatiah allowance of Rs 23 per mensem enjoyed by the late Ghulam Uzamootolla, a Mysore State pensioner, to his eldest brother Nooroo Beyah, the Stipend to take effect from the 9th January 1877, the day following the death of its holder.

To
The Subcollector North East
in charge of Stipend Pay Office
Vellore.
2 — The Dissent in Mysore.

23. 2
Offg Secretary.

22-2-78 B.K.

1878 Recd No 218 G

Government of Mysore
General

To

The Subcollector North Arcot
in charge of Stipend Pay Office
Vellore
& the Dy Accountant General in Mysore.

Dated 25th
Despd 26th } February

No 218 G.

Communicates the Government of India Sanction to the Grant of the Fatiha allowance of Rs 23 per mensem to Moosa Razah.

Seringapatam, July 18th 1799

Dear Sir

The bearer hereof is Gulam Ali the Meer Sudoon who waits on his son Gulam Mohydeen is going to reside at Vellore under your protection. The Commissioners for the affairs of Mysore have provided for their both by pensions - of all the servants of the late Sultan, I believe there was no one more attached to him than the Meer Sudoon and there is no one who has rendered more effectual Service to the Company & to the Countries which have come under their Government & protection than he has - After the fall of Seringapatam,

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [

Endorsement on the petition of Mahomed Atzkullah Kurosh applying for a continuance of allowances drawn by the late Gulam Ali Mirsé Rakal Sahib Kurauchi dated 21st November 1886 No 145.

Petitioner is informed that he ca address the Mysore authorities on the subject. This is a Mysore state pension

(sd) G. W. Hawcett
Political Officer.

16th December 1886
Vellore

(True Copy)
Mizzullah

No 3249

8/1/87.

To.

27/2/87.

His Excellency the Dewan of—
His Highness the Maharajah
of Mysore.

The humble petition of Mahomed Azeezullah Khurishi son of Goolam — Azmatullah Sahib Khurishi and nephew of Goolam Ali Moostay Raza Khurishi, Mysore Pensioners.

Most respectfully sheweth.

That your Excellency's petitioner begs to state that his deceased father Goolam Azmatullah Sahib Kurishi was in receipt of a personal allowance of Rupees 47-6-— and Fatiha allowance of Rupees 23 a month for the performance of the annual Ceremonies of the tomb of Goolam Ali Meeraisadoor, the petitioner's great grand-father. He performed the requisite Ceremonies for about 33 or 34 years and breathed his last on the 8th January 1877 when two-thirds of his personal allowance was — sanctioned in the name of his wife, the petitioner's mother, who is still alive enjoying the same and the full Fatiha allowance in the name of the petitioner's uncle Goolam Ali Moosay Raza Kurishi who died on the 5th November 1886 without leaving any male issue.

That your Excellency's petitioner is the nephew of the said deceased and has two elder brothers at Hyderabad Deccan who have no objection, should

e authorities be pleased to continue the allowance
his (petitioner's) name, as he was the managing —
member of the family during the lifetime of his —
deceased father

That your Excellency's petitioner submitted
petition to the Political Officer at Vellore praying
the Continuance of the said Fatiha allowance in
his name and received an endorsement No: 145 dated
6th December 1886 to the effect that he Could —
address the Mysore authorities on the subject, Copy
of which is herein enclosed.

Under the Circumstances the petitioner
humbly prays that his claims to the enjoyment of the
said Fatiha allowance may be duly Considered
and Sanction accorded for the Continuance of the
same in his name. Should your Excellency think
that the Continuance of the allowance in the name
of a Government Servant is objectionable he begs
to request that it may be Sanctioned in the name
of his elder Son Goolam Ahmatullah Kurishi

Your Excellency's petitioner begs to add
that Similar allowances are allowed to other public
Servants who are and were in better position than
the petitioner. For example Mir Ansuruddin Sahib
Bahadur, the Presidency Magistrate of Madras
and his brother and that Goolam Dastagir Sahib
Saeed the son-in-law of the petitioners deceased
uncle who was alleged to be a claimant for the
said allowance, was burnt at the Madras Park
fire

For which act of charity and e

petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray.

Kulittalai
Trichinopoly District
9th January 1887

M Azizullah

Address of the petitioner

Mahomed Azizullah Kureshi
Inspector of Police
G.I Division
Kulittalai
Trichinopoly District

(General Serial No. 34.)

No. 3249

ENDORSEMENT.

In reply to his Petition, dated 9th January 1887

Mahomed Ezizullah Khoorashi, Inspector of Police, Kulittalai, Trichinopoly dist

is informed that

the allowance enjoyed by the deceased pensioner was a life grant and ceased with the death of the Pensioner.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
27th August 1887.

By Order,

Draft

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—7-9-85.—2,000.

January 1887

Vellore

To.

K. Seshadri Iyer Esqre B.A.

Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja

of Mysore

The humble petition of Mohomed

Ali Khoraishi Mysore Pensioner,

grandson of the Mysore Mir

Sudur Gholam Ali

Humbly sheweth,

That on the demise of the petition-

er's grandfather, the Mir Sudur, his

father was appointed head of the family,

and used to draw Rs 432 for the support

of the family of Mir Sudur. Out of these,

he set aside Rs. 23 for the Fatiha per-

formance of his father, the Mir Sudur,

which he accomplished all his life.

After the demise of the petitioner's

father, the petitioner's uncle Ha-

Hap

Haji Mohiedeen Saheb Khoraishi always sent for the money (Rs 432) with the seal on the letter written to this effect, and distributed it among the family of the elder sister as he liked. Although the petitioner was the right claimant to be appointed Head of the family; yet on account of his being 11 years old at that time & his sisters being only 3 years, nothing could be done to prevent him (uncle) from taking such a step. About forty years previous to this time, the Government had ordered to send in the list, so Haji Mohiedeen Saheb Khoraishi appointed his son Azmatulla Saheb Khoraishi (the petitioner's cousin) Head of the family & presented the list to the Government, writing Rs. 147-10-0 for his brothers and sister and Rs 117-12-0 for the petitioner and his sister & mother. Now it is obvious that the petitioner should have got the equal-

amount

amount and that there ought to have been two canal portions; but on the contrary, there was increase of Rs 6-14-0 even if we take into account the sum set aside for the Fatiha ceremony and which must be taken out of the whole i.e. Rs. 432. To make it clear, if we add Rs 23 of the Fatiha and Rs 117-12-0, the portion assigned to me, it will amount to Rs. 140-12-0 only, while they draw Rs 147-10-0. But it seems that on account of Azmatulla saheb Koraishi being head of the family, Rs. 6-14- was increased.

After Azmatulla saheb Koraishi Ghulam Moosi Raza saheb was conducting the ceremonies as his predecessor his brother. He died on the 5th November 1886. Now there is none having so good a right to perform the ceremonies as the petitioner, for (1) the petitioner's father was, as observed, the first to start it, and (2) the petitioner is direct grandson to the Mir Sudur. He therefore submits that

it is the right of the deceased's heir
at ceremonies his grandfather. Now one
Gholam Dastagir Saheb, son-in-law to Ali
Allooe Raza Saheb Koraishi, has laid
claim to the Fatiha allowance Rs 23. It may
easily be considered that he has no right
to it. Disagreement existed for 10 years between
his father-in-law & himself, as well as between
him & his wife. The Petitioner therefore en-
tirely fails to see, how the will, he alleges to
have been executed by the deceased (his father-
-in-law) could have been executed in his favor
rather than in that of his (deceased's) wife
and daughters, & how can it be regarded
as genuine. His mother was a Mysore,
and his father a Carnatic Pensioner, he
can be entitled to any of these pensions or dues.

The petitioner, in conclusion, humbly
submits that on consideration of the facts
laid down, the Fatiha allowance (Rs 23) that
was as seen, originally his father's, will be
granted to him, as he is the only claimant
left who is entitled to perform the Fatiha.

For which act of justice & clemency
the Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray

I beg to remain Sir Your most obedient Servant

Mahomed
Gholam Ali Koraishi
Mysore State Pensioner

Recd
22/3/87

No 34

Transferred to the
Dewan to his Highness
the Maharaja of
Mysore.

2. It is true that the
pensioner Ghulam
Musa Raja Sahib
died on the 6th November
1886.

Vellore Political Office
Vellore; 21st March 1887

Political Offi

(General Serial No. 31.)

1887

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

From The Political Officer Vellore

To

Dated 21st March

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 34

No.

Forwarding petition from Mohomed Ali Khoraishi, Mysore Pensioner, Grandson of the Mysore Nair Sudeer Ghulam Ali

P-7/1/11

19/7/87.

No 912

Yo

Recd 16. 7. 87

Office

His Excellency K. Seshadri Iyer.
C. S. I
Dewan to His Highness the Maharajah
of Mysore
Bangalore.

The humble petition of

Ghulam Ali Korishee
Mohomed Ghouse Korishee
Mohomed Shirullah Korishee
} Sons

Liaqutun Nissa Begum
Rabiah Begum
} daughters.

Humbly Sheweth :-

That with deep regret your petitioners beg to report the death, on the 10th February 1887, of their mother Fadeka Begum who was in receipt of a monthly allowance of Rupees 31-9-4 Granted to her by the Mysore Government out of the lapsed allowance of their father Ghulam Ghouse Saheb deceased (Vide Letter of the Government of India :- Foreign Department No 601. F. dated 18th December 1877 to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore). This allowance was being drawn by her through the Office of the Resident at Hyderabad

The deceased lady has left behind her three sons, two daughters (one of whom is still unmarried) and two Grand Children by a deceased daughter, all of whom were more or less aided by her.

That on the death of their father the said Ghulam Ahmad Khullah Sahib, the allowance enjoyed by him had to be continued to them as members of a very respectable family which rendered valuable Services to the British Government during the Mysore Wars, but the Government of India were pleased to continue only a portion of the allowance viz the Name of their mother, the said Padsha Begum. As all of the petitioners were living as members of undivided family & were benefited by that allowance they took no exception to such continuance.

That your petitioners beg to enclose for your kind perusal Copy of a letter from Lord Wellesly, which will convince your Excellency of the position of the family they belong to, and of the validity of the claim they now prefer.

Under these circumstances your petitioners request You will be pleased to move the Government of His Highness the Nizam to take the case into favourable Consideration and to pass orders to continue in their name the full allowance enjoyed by their late mother.

For which act of Kindness they shall ever feel grateful.

Sdy. Ghulam Mi.
s/o Mohommed Ghouse
Khurishi

marks of-
Zina th-unnissa
Begum
Rabia Begam
Hyderabad Deccan
11th July 1897

Directors
To
Mohommed Ghouse Khurishi
Near Nampalli Railway
Station
Hyderabad Deccan

غریب پرور و داد گستر فیاض زمان حاتم دوراں ملجا و ماوی عاجزان حاجت روای عالمیان مہاراجہ صاحب بہادر مہسور قایم و دایم

ہم سب پوتریان غلام علی میر صدور مغفور جو ساکن آرکاٹ کے ہین یعنے

شیبو بی بی کہ جسکے پنشن کا نمبر ۸ ہے

اور فاطمہ بیگم کہ جسکے پنشن کا نمبر ۱۶ ہے

اور قادر النسا کہ جسکے پنشن کا نمبر ۱۷ ہے

اور امیر بی کہ جسکے پنشن کا نمبر ۱۹ ہے

خدمت مین عرضداشت گزارش کرتی ہین کہ بھائی ہمارے غلام علی مویسے رضا صاحب قریشی کہ جسکے مشروط پنشن کا نمبر ۷۷۳ تھی اور مہوار ۲۳ روپیہ عودو فاکہ و فاکہ سالانہ کا خرچ غلام علی میر صدور مغفور کا اوسکے نام سے جاری تھا اب اوسکا انتقال ہوجانے سے ہر شخص اوس مبلغ کے واسطے عرض کر رہے ہین ہم بھی عرض کرتے ہین اگرچہ بھی مبلغ فاکہ کے نام سے غلام علی مویسے رضا صاحب قریشی کے جاری تھا لاکن غلام دستگیر صاحب سعید جو مویسے رضا صاحب مرحوم کے حقیقی عشرہ زادہ اور داماد اور پوتے غلام علی میر صدور مغفور کے ہین ادائی مشروط کا کام اپنے وقتمین انہونے دیے کیے تھے اور بھی صاحب آجتک بخوبی تمام اوسکام کی سرانجام کرتے آئے ہین اور فاکہ سالیانہ وغیرہ کو بدستی سرانجام دیتے ہین چنانچہ اوسی واسطے مرحوم مذکور غلام دستگیر صاحب سعید کے نام سے آرکاٹ کے کلکٹر ارکے خدمت مین عرضی بطریق راضی نامہ کے اپنے حیات مین کیے تھے اب ہم سبکی یہی خوشی ہے کہ بھی مبلغ غلام دستگیر صاحب سعید کے نام سے جاری ہونا تا فاکہ وغیرہ حسب عادت جاری رہے دوسرا کوئی شخص مردون سے اوس خاندان مین اور اوس فیملی مین ساکن اوس شہر کے نہین اور قبور بھی غلام علی میر صدور مغفور وغیرہ کے یہان ہے اور غلام دستگیر صاحب سعید بھی اسی مقام مین رہتے ہین اگر بھی مبلغ انہونے کے نام سے جاری ہو تو بخوبی تمام کاموںکی سرانجامی اوس امر مین ہم سبکی خوشی ہے اور بدل راضی ہین

مرقوم ۲۱ ماہ دسمبر سنہ ۱۸۸۶ عیسوی

شیبو بی
عدت العبد

فاطمہ بیگم
عدت العبد

قادر النسا
عدت العبد

امیر بی
عدت العبد

No 3251.

Translation of the above Hindustani Petition

To

His Highness the Maharajah

Sahib Bahadoor, Mysore.

Received 27/8/84.

May it please your Excellency—

We, the undersigned,

Mysore Pensioner No. 8. Tippoo Bee

Do. —— . 16. Fatima Begum

Do — . 17, Khadim-un-Bissa

Do. —— . 19, Ameer Bee.,

the grand daughters of Goolam Ali Peer Sidda alias, Kundasan Bukshee, and Sisters of the late Mussa Reza Coorshee, Mysore pensioner No. 37, beg humbly & respectfully beg to bring to your Highness's Notice the following;—

That a monthly Mysore pension of Rs 23-— was granted to the Said late Goolam Ali Reza Coorshee, brother of your Petrs for the performance of Fatah Service to the Tomb of Goolam Ali Peer Sudan, situated at Arcot. Subsequent to his death which lately occurred there are several Applicants claiming exp We do not, therefore be to inform

your Highness that the proper person entitled to become the said Monthly pension and Conduct the Tomb Service is Goolam Dustaghir Sahib Syed, who was the actual performer, being appointed by the deceased Goolam Hussain Raja Goorkee himself during his lifetime, while the latter, till his last moments, was but a nominal receiver of the Pension - The said Goolam Dustaghir Sahib Syed is not only son-in-law of the deceased, but also Son of the Sister of the deceased & Grandson of the said Goolam Hussain Suddoor. After the demise of his father-in-law or maternal Uncle, Goolam Dustaghir Sahib Syed continues to perform even to this day the Tomb Service as duly & satisfactorily as he did before - The deceased was so much pleased with his work that he while alive even petitioned the then Collector of Arcot that Goolam Dustaghir should be nominated for the Service of the Tomb, after his death, he being the proper person to conduct it regularly - Under these Circumstances, we are unanimously of opinion, that his nomination to this Office, would give us unmixed Satisfaction, and in Consequence pray that

that the Said Mysore pension of Rs 23- "——
per mensem, be granted to him - There
are then male members in our family in this
town than Gholam Dastagir which aged,
whose permanent residence in the very
locality where the Tomb is situated, together
with his uprightness of Character is a Suffi-
-cient guarantee for the regular performance
of the Service; And hence, our earnest &
humble prayer for his Nomination —

21st December 1886

Arcot

neral Seal No. 34.)

No. 3257 ENDORSEMENT.

In reply to their Petition, dated 21st Dec. 1886

Fipoo Bee, Fathima Bee, Khader Unnissa

and Ameer Bee, street

are ~~is~~ informed that

the allowance enjoyed by the deceased

pensioner was a life grant and ceased

with the death of the Pensioner.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
27th August 1887.

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

draft

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—7-9-85.—2,000.

26-10-87
Recy No 34.
No 2134.

11/10/87.

To

His Excellency the Dewan,
to His Highness the Maharajah
of Mysore:

The humble petition of Mohomed Shukullah,
Kurishee Police Inspector of C I. division Kulitalai Sub
Trichinopoly District,
Humbly Sheweth,

That with reference to the endorsement
No 3249 dated the 27th August last, from the Chief
Secretary to Mysore Government, Stating that the allowance
enjoyed by your petitioner's late uncle Ghulam Moorad
Rajah Saheb Kurishee was a life Grant & Seized with his
death, Your petitioner respectfully begs to inform, that the
said allowance was not a personal allowance to be
discontinued on the death of the Recipient, but that
Grant was a permanent one to be continued to the
heirs of Ghulam Ali Meeri Sudoor, the petitioners
Great Grand-father, to perform the Ceremonies connected
with his tomb & to celebrate his anniversary. The
allowance was therefore Continued to your petitioner's father
Ghulam Azzonatullah Saheb Kurishee, who performed
the requisite Ceremonies for about 30 or 33 years in addition
to his personal allowance of Rs 47-6-- two-thirds of

which were, upon his death (on the 8th Jany 1877), continued to your petitioners mother and the Yathika Allowance was granted to your petitioner's late uncle Ghulam Moosay Razah Saheb Khirishee for the performance of the requisite Ceremonies.

Such being the Case your petitioner on the demise of his uncle (who died on the 5th Nov 1886 without leaving any male issue) claimed the continuance of the Yathika Allowance in his name to enable him to celebrate the annual Ceremony of Ghulam Ali Meeri Sudoor, who rendered valuable Services to the State in Mysore Wars.

That your petitioner therefore most humbly begs to solicit, that your Excellency will be graciously pleased to take into re-consideration his first petition of the 9th January last & to pass orders for the continuance of the said Yathika Allowance in his name or that of his son Ghulam Ammatullah Khirishee

For which act of charity he shall as in duty bound ever pray.

Ismail Aziz Ullah

Nellikolai
8th Septr 87

C. No. 345
10-9-87

No. 2986
7666

FROM

L. WHITE KING, Esq. C. S.,
ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore

Dated, Bangalore, 10th September 1887.

SIR,

I am directed to request that you will be so good as to forward, for the information of the Officiating Resident, all the papers connected with the Pension enjoyed by the family of the late Ghulam Ali Khureshi, Meer Auddoor, as well as those referring to the assignment of a Fatiha allowance to the

the performance of the annual Ceremonies

of the said Order Suddoor..

4377

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant

Assistant to the Resident

Mr. Ley will please forward
the packet to Colonel Low
by Dy.

(General Serial No 31.) Draft

1887.

From

To The Asst Resident

Dated 7/1887

Despatched

Received

Petition No: 2732
of 9th September 1881
from Khyroonissa
Begum, together with
extract translation
—do— 2232 of 12th
November 81 from —do— do
together with copy of Endorsement
given to her
Letter No: 45 of 8th April 1879
to the Ag: Sub Collector of North Arcot
—do— 156 of 26th March 79 from
the Govt: of India
—do— 186 of 14th Feby 79 to
the Govt: of India
—do— 75 of 4th August 78 to
the Ag: Acctt Genl: in Mysore
—do— 118 of 1st August 78 from
the Ag: Sub Collector of North Arcot
Original of the Resident's Report to
Petition No: 720 of 16th June 78
from Noor Jehan Begum
together with copy of Endorsement to her
Letter No: 19 of 7th Feby 79 from
Ag: Sub Collector of North Arcot
—do— 218 of 25th Feby 78 to do
File of correspondence
ending with letter No: 158 of
17th October 1877 from the Ag:
Sub Collector of North Arcot
File of correspondence
ending with Roc: No: 29 of
5th January 1860 of the Secretary
Department.
File of correspondence
ending with Endorsements
Nos: 2669, 3250, 3263—
3262—, 3257, 369, 3269,
1504 of 27th August 87
& Roc: No: of the Resident's
Despatch of 15th August 87

Enclosures No. 14 Papers with their respective accompaniments as noted within.

General No: 3891
No: 321

Sir,

In reply to your letter No: 2986 of the 7666 I am directed to forward herewith the marginally noted (to be kindly returned) papers, connected with the pensions of the family of the late Ghulam Ali Khuresha, Mussa Luddoor, together with the assignment of a Fatiha allowance for the performance of the ceremonies of the said Mussa Luddoor.

I have &c.
By
Order

9
F.D.

Forwards to the Asstt to the Resident &c.

(General Serial No. 31.) Recd 19-9-87

31

1887. 4577

From The Asstt to the Resident at Mysore

To

Dated 16 Sept

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2906

No. 7666.

Requesting to forward all the papers connected with the pension enjoyed by the family of the late Ghulam Ali Khuneshi Saeb Suddoor &c

Reg: C No 508 29-11-87 Res 7666
25-1-87 40

(General Serial No. 31.)

188 6 60

From Assistant to the President in Mysore

To

Dated 21st November 1887

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 5

General No. 3786
No. 7666

for disposal.

Forwarding Petitions received from Mahamud Abdool Khadar Kurshi, son of the late Goolam Mahamud-Sigabola Kurshi, Claiming his father's pension, as well as Fatiha allowance.

No. 3786
7666 Encs 5 with Gtd.

Resident Dept
Perpetual pension Enquiry Pb

From

L. WHITE KING, Esq., C. S.,

ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To 25/11 The Dewan of Mysore

Dated, Bangalore, 21st November 1887

Sir,

I am directed to forward for favour of disposal, the accompanying petitions Nos A. and B. dated 31st January 1887, with enclosures, received from Mohamed Abdul Khader Kuryshi, son of the late Goolam Mahomed Sihgatoola Khuryshi, claiming his late father's pension, as well as ~~do~~ Pateka allowance

23 of Rupees 25 per mensem which appears

to

have been granted for the performance of the annual ceremonies at his late great grandfather, Ghodam Ali Shurgshi Meer Suddoor.

2. The original papers received with your office letter No. 3891 - 321 dated 7th October 1887, are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

[signature]

Asst to the Resident



No. A. & B.

TO,

His Honor The British Resident
in the Court of H. H. the Maharaja
of Mysore Dominions Bangalore.

The humble petition of

Mahomed Abdool Khader Khooryshee son of Gholam Mahomed
Sibghatoolla Khooryshee grandson of the late Meer Suddoor
Gholam Ali Khooryshee.

RESPECTED SIR,

The Petitioner begs to bring to your kind notice with a firm hope of obtaining his late father's pension as he is the only son & legal heir of the said deceased who died on the 26th October 1886 and who was in the receipt of Government Rupees 20/9/- per mensem, as his personal, pensionary allowance.

With regard to the above, your honor may be pleased to get the sanction of the Government in his name in the same way as his deceased uncle Mahomed Sayeed Khooryshee's daughter named Ameer Bee has been provided with, and she is now enjoying the same.

He further begs to state that your Honor may be pleased to obtain the sanction of the Government in favor of petitioner for the full sum of the said pension as per following reasons VIZ.

I. That Petitioner's cousin named above, being a female heir has been allowed a moiety of her father's pension while petitioner is the male heir and hence entitled to full pension.

II. That petitioner was entitled to his mother's pension on her death but the petitioner was disappointed as due information was not given to Government by his maternal uncles, the petitioner then being a child of about one year.

III. That Petitioners father was minor when the pension was distributed among the members of the family and the elder and eldest brothers since died divided the amount of pension as they (then) wished but petitioner's grandfather was then very old, blind and quite ignorant to read and write, they got very good opportunity to take advantage of the minor who was quite unable to raise any objection regarding the same. The discrepancy in the amount of pension is an obvious proof of his statement. Otherwise there were no other reasons in reality to show why the sons of the same parent should draw different amount of pension.

HYDERABAD DECCAN,
31st January 1887.

The petitioner begs to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your Honor's Most Obedient Servant.

Mahomed Khooryshee

No. B.

The humble petition of Mohomed Abdool Khader Khooryshee

great grandson of the late Meer Suddoor Goolam Ali Khooryshee.

Respected Sir,

To give a brief family history of the aforesaid Meer Suddoor, the petitioner begs to state that he had 3 daughters and 2 sons, the eldest of whom was the petitioner's paternal grandfather named Haujee Mahomed Mohydeen Khooryshee who was, in commemoration of the valuable services rendered by the late revered gentleman favored by the British Government in addition to his personal pension, with an allowance of Government Rs. 25 per mensem for the performance of annual ceremonies of the said M. S. The petitioner's grandfather had three sons, the elder of whom had the honor of drawing the said allowance from Government; on his death the son of the younger brother of the petitioner's grandfather applied to Government for the continuance of the allowance on his name, but Government refused the application on the understanding that the right of performing the annual ceremonies devolved only on the legal heirs of the petitioner's grandfather. So the petitioner's eldest Uncle enjoyed the grant till 6th November 1886 when he died in South Arcot leaving only female heirs. The petitioner at this stage became the legal heir to claim the said allowance as his eldest uncle left no male heirs to perform the annual ceremonies of the aforesaid M. S. but the Petitioner was unavoidably detained from appearing in person owing to the dangerous illness of his son who has been still under treatment of D. E. Lawrie, of the Hyderabad Residency.

~~On~~ 1st December 1886 having heard that Mohomed Azeezoolla Inspector of Police, the youngest son of the petitioner's late elder Uncle (aforesaid) and his aunts younger son named Goolam Dustagheer since deceased, applied for the said grant of the Rs. 25. Petitioner privately telegraphed your honour that the pension of his father who died on 26 October 1886 and of his eldest Uncle may not be granted to any body until petitioner's application is received which has been now sent with a view that your honor may not think that there is no rightful claimant for it owing to the non-receipt of an application up to date.

Your honor's good grace and benevolent character will therefore be pleased to deliberately consider the points herein mentioned and obtain sanction of the grant of the allowance specially allowed for the performance of the annual ceremonies by petitioner the legal male member surviving of late M. Suddoor Goolam Ali's family. The petitioner has no claim whatever to the personal allowance of his eldest Uncle as his widow and daughters are entitled to it.

That the said Police Inspector's father died about 9 or 10 years ago when petitioner's father and his eldest Uncle were alive. Hence Inspector and his brothers are now precluded by law from reviving their claims to the said allowance.

As it was not the personal allowance of their father that they could claim, but an allowance specially granted for annual ceremonies to be performed by a legal member. If the heirs of the above said elder uncle had any claim at present with regard to this allowance the Inspector's eldest brother would have applied for the same at his father's death. Under such circumstances the Inspector could not at all come forward being the younger member of the family.

That the Inspector has been provided with a suitable post in which he could hope for promotion. While your petitioner was selected as a Candidate for Civil Service but up to this time not favored with a chance.

The accompanying documents will prove that the petitioner is the respectable member of the Family and noble on both sides paternal as well as maternal.

Petitioner therefore earnestly craves your honor to grant the request solicited for which act of kindness,

Your Petitioner as in duty bound will
ever pray and remain to be,
Your Honor's Obedient Servant.
Mohd Abdool Khader Khooryshee.

Hyderabad Deccan,
31st January 1887

(True Copy.)



Be it known to Daismooks, Daispondeyan, Mookhuddama, Mazareyan and ryots of the Purgana of Bailpore, &c., attached to Caranatic Payenghaut Sooba-i-Daroon-Nore Mohomedpore, otherwise called Arcot, that the Mouza-i-Walvanore, &c., the Amla of the said Purgana attached to the Sooba-i-Mohomedpore the total area of which is 23,495 Chuckras and the present revenue of which is 8,895 Phoolee Pagodas, besides the Inam lands, Roosooms, Meera, appurtenances and rights in that Purgana, is granted as Joghire to Khader Nawaz Khan Bahadoor Buhram Jung from the commencement of 1,206 Fusli as per details (below). It is desirable that you should pay him whatever dues are payable to the Sirkar (Govt.) at the proper time and season; that you should not depart from the advice and suggestion of the said Bahadoor; that you should consider his commendation and complaint with regard to you effectual.

The duty of the said Bahadur is that he should win the good will of the ryots by his kind treatment; that he should exert himself in the advancement of cultivation and the increase of the revenue, continuing at the same time the shares and Inam, &c., as above mentioned, of the ryots in the usual manner and converting the revenues to his own use, he shall remain the friend associate and a well wisher of the Government consider this a strict order and act in accordance therewith.

Executed on the 11th day of Mohorum 1211 Hijri.

(True Copy.)

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

CALCUTTA.

18*th December* ~~1879.~~

Sir,

In reply to your petition bearing no date, I am directed to state that, your name has been noted, and that your claims and qualifications will be duly considered with those of other candidates by His Excellency the Viceroy and Governor General if opportunities of meeting your wishes should occur.

I remain

Sir,

Yours obediently,

G. POMEROY COLLEY, Colonel,

Private Secretary to Viceroy.

Abdool Khadir

Khoorysheb.

(A true copy)

Seringapatam 18th July 1799

To The Commanding Officer of the Fort of Vellore -

Sir

The bearer hereof is Gholam Allie Thoo=
rphee the Meer Sudder who with his son
Ghoolam Mohydeen Thoorpdee is going
to reside at Vellore under your protection.
The Commissioners for the affairs of Mysore
have provided for them both by pensions - Of
all the servants of the late Sultan I believe there
was no one more attached to him than the
Meer Sudder & there was no one who has
rendered more effectual service to the Com=
pany & the Countries, which have come under
their Govt & protection than he has after the fall
of Seringapatam & the family of the Sultan & the
great Sirdars had surrendered themselves, he had
the good sense to see that the best thing that could
happen for all parties was ~~impossible~~ that the
country should be settled as speedily as possible
& by exerting his influence over the Killadars of
the different Forts without making any private
bargain for himself he had the honesty to contribute as
much as lay in his power towards a settlement
which he conceived to be for the general good, I -
unreservedly recommend him to you & I am convinced
the longer you know him the better you will like him -

(Signed) A Wellesley

(Signed) Chs. Monritt

Pay[master] of Stipends.

1888.

No 10

From

To The Asst to the Resident in Coyore

Dated Bangalore 7 January

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 5866

No. 468

Sir

With reference to the claims advanced by Mohomed ali Khondy and others, to the fathiya allowance of 23 Rs per month granted to defray the Cost of the Ceremonies performed in honor of the late Meer Gholam ali, whose tomb is at Arcot, I am desired by the Offg Dewan to request you to be good enough to ask the Political Officer at Vellore to furnish information as to who is the present recognized head of the family of the said

Meer

Meer Goolamali Khoja Co
be entrusted with the allo
in question.

I have the

Under Secreta

No. 113 Recd Jno 10

To

K Sadhudri Iyer Esqr

Dewan to His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore

&c &c &c

The humble petition of Goolam

Mahomed Ally Khurieshee

Mysore State Pensioner

Residing at Cauvery Street

Pydahel Vellore.

Humbly sheweth-

That no orders have as

yet been passed on your petitioners

petition submitted through the Sub

Collector Vellore in charge of Mysore

Pensioners in January 1869 praying

for the continuation in your petitioners

name of the Jaffeka allowance of

Rs23/ per month of his grandfather

late Goolam Ali Khan Saheb.

and prays that the said

petition may be favourably
considered as early as Com
-mencement.

In which act of kindness
and charity your petitioners shall
as in duty bound ever pray.

Yellow
14th March 1848

(General Serial No. 31)

1888.

From Goolam Mohomed ally
Khurishi Imporstate
pensioner

To

Dated 14th March 1888

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. one

General No. 113.
Resy No. 10

Reminder to his petition
dated 5th January 1887.
submitted through the
Political Officer Vellore
with his docket No 348/
21st March 1887.

Forwarded to the
Comptroller with reference
to previous petition - The
file Connected with the
case is sent (to be obliging
returned).

By order

Mysore Dewan's Office
Residency office
Bangalore 18th
May 1888

Under Secretary

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE,
Bangalore 29. 6. 1888.

(18)

My dear Mr Nadiah

The enclosed relate to the State Pension Office & do not concern the Comptroller. Please let me know what I shd do in the matter.

Yours Sincy

A Rangaramanujy

C Madhava Rao Esq

3/4/88.

31-7-88
No 8 Bo
Recd 21.

1833 Narayan Pillay
Asst. Lascelles Mem-
Bangalore
12th July 1888

Sir:

Recd 21/7/88

I respectfully beg to submit that I came down here to represent my case personally and submit the petition enclosed herewith:

That unfortunately the days the 10th and the 11th Sunday on which I called at the Residence were not visiting days and was told to leave my card

Feeling unwell I returned home and beg that my petition here with enclosed

will be punctually observed
I beg leave
to
Your most obedient
and humble
Servant.

Gorham Mathews
Esqr Chancellor
Grand Master of Gorham
Old Town Lodge

(General Serial No. 34.)

No. 3 ENDORSEMENT. (25)

In reply to his No 860/21 Petition, dated 12th July 1888,

Goolam Mohomed Ally Khurieshy,
a Mysore State pensioner, residing
at Vellore ——————— is informed that
the report of the Vellore Political Officer
through the Resident in Mysore is
awaited for disposal of the case.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
Residency ~~PETITION~~ DEPARTMENT,
Bangalore 8th August 1888.

By Order,
Under Secretary.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore.—7-9-85.—2,000.

13/7/88
a-1

K. Seshadri Iyer Esq B.S.I.
Dewan to His Highness
The Maharajah of Mysore

{The humble petition of Goolam Mahomed Ally Khuriesby, a Mysore State Pensioner, residing at Vellore. ——— —

——Dated, Vellore, 5th July 1888.

——Humbly Sheweth.——

——That your Petitioner's petition, dated 5th January 1887, for the continuation in his name of the "Fatheha" allowance of Rs 23/- per mensem, of his grand father Goolam Ally Meera Sadoor of his late Majesty Tippoo Sultan of Mysore, sent through the Sub Collector at Vellore, was referred back to him for enquiry and report; and that that officer, in turn, referred it to the Tahsildar of Arcot for investigation.

——2.—— That your Petitioner submits that the Tahsildars' enquiry was one sided and incomplete and that he omitted to determine such facts as are essential for the right decision of the matter at issue.

——3.——

——3.——That Goolam Ally Meera Sadoor died leaving two sons, Goolam Mohiddeen and Goolam Russool, and that Goolam Russool, your Petitioner's father, who was head of the family, was performing his Fatteha Ceremonies and assigned — Rs 23/- per mensem for that purpose out of the family stipend.—

——4.——That your Petitioner was a minor at his father's death; and that therefore the duties of performing the Fatteha ceremonies devolved on his uncle Goolam Moheeddeen.—

——5.——That as your Petitioner's father was performing the Fatteha Ceremonies and that as your Petitioner was a minor when his father died and that as Goolam Moheeddeen acted for his late brother in the matter on behalf of his minor son, your Petitioner, he (Goolam Mohuddeen) set down the payment of the Fatteha allowance out of your Petitioner's father's share in the family stipend, and that is the reason, why the share of your Petitioner's fathers family stipend is less by Rs 23/- than the amount primarily allotted to each brother.——

——6——That on the death of your Petitioners uncle Goolam Mohuddeen, the Fatteha ceremonies were conducted successively by his two sons Aymathulla & Ally Inoosay

Raza.—

Raza

——7.—That Ally Moosay Raza died on the 5th November 1886, leaving no male issue

——8.—That Ayeezulla Son of Azmathulla applied, on the death of his uncle Ally Moosay Raza, the last holder, for the continuation of the Fatteha allowance in his name, but, even his application with those of several others was rejected by Government.—

——9.—That the right of performing the Fatteha ceremonies ought to revert to your Petitioner not only because your Petitioner is a Grandson of Meer Sadoor in the direct male line, but, also because the Fatteha allowance forms a portion of your Petitioner's father's Share in the family Stipend and that the performance of the duties of the Fatteha had been assumed by your Petitioner's uncle on account of your Petitioner's minority at the time of his father's death, and that your Petitioner now in praying for the continuation of the Fatteha allowance in his name, claims only what is his birth right.—

——10.—That your Petitioner performs the Fatteha Ceremonies since the death of his Cousin Ally Moosay Raza and that the Tahsildar at Arcot refused to take evidence in proof of the fact, remarking that to do so, was not within

the——

the scope of what he was directed to do.

—— 11.—— That the Tahsildar's proposal to your Petitioner for the partition of the Fatteha allowance between your Petr.r and one Counter Petitioner Ziavoodeen, a minor about 9 years of age and who is a son of one of the daughters of Ally Moosay Raja in the proportion of Rs 16/- to your Petitioner and Rs 7/- to the Counter Petitioner Ziavoodeen, is absurd in as much as the allowance is an endowment for the performance of the Fatteha Ceremonies and not a stipend for maintenance.

—— 12.—— That the claim of the Counter Petitioner is void:—

(a) because he is a minor incapable of performing Fatteha Ceremonies.

(b) because he is not in the direct line of the family of Meera Sadoor, he being a son of one of the daughters of a grandson of the Meera Sadoor and as such he has no right whatever by his descent either according to the Mahomedan or Hindu usage to perform the Fatteha Ceremonies while the direct lineal descendants of a very near order of the family are alive.

(c)

(c) because the Government declined either to continue a moiety from Ally Mooday Raya's stipend to the mother of the Counter Petitioner and her sisters as well on to allow her to perform the Fatteha ceremonies, and that therefore the Counter Petitioner has no manner of right — through his mother, and that his allegations in the face of these facts, that he is performing the Fatteha Ceremonies since the death of Ally Mooday Raya, may be taken for what they are worth. — is the Tahsildar of Arcot,

— Petitioner in Conclusion prays that the allowance of Rs 23/- for the performance of the Fatteha ceremonies on the tomb of the late Meena Sadoon Goolam Ally, your Petitioner's grand father, may be continued to the Petitioner. —

— For which act of justice your Petitioner shall, as in duty bound, ever pray. —

73

To Collector
No 87
3-8-87

F. Andrew Esqre I C S
Collector Office
Ulsoor.

Most Honored Sir

In continuation of my petition dated 5th January 1887 to the Dewan of Mysore, I respectfully beg to state that the said petition having been forwarded to your honor by the Dewan for enquiry, it was sent to the Tahsildar of Anekal who viewed the case in a different light and consequently the fact, I am sure to know, has been misrepresented by him. The fatihe allowance of Rs 23 was retrenched from the pension of my deceased father. A reference to the copy of the petition × to the Dewan of Mysore will corroborate my statements. Under these circumstances I respectfully pray that the matter may be duly re-enquired into by your

× Submitted by the Tahsildar of Anekal.

[illegible] Officer at Vellore
[illegible] be made for the
[illegible] in the manner in
[illegible] of the rightful claimant.

Sir, I beg to add that
the claims of Hattima Begum daughter
of Moozay Raza late Kurnaki have
also been rejected by the Government
of Mysore.

and mother.
Jayaud. did
under Reconsn
by the Talo
for the Fatiya
allowance.

For which act of Kindness
I shall feel ever grateful.

I beg to remain
Honored Sir
Your most obedient servant

Vellore
26th May
1888

Signature of Mahomed Ali Kurnaki

Rec'd the 28th
May 1888
5 P.M.
Encls

Kulitali P.O.
Lalgudi
24 May 1888

Dept. No 44
1887
Political Office

Received
No 87
24 May 1888
Registered
Postpaid

To
The Tahsildar of
Arcot Taluq.
N. Arcot District.

Sir,

Being given to understand that a reference has been made to your office from the Govt of Mysore thro' the Sub Collector of N. Arcot, for holding an enquiry & ascertaining the proper Claimant for the receiving Fathia Allowance of Rs 23 per mensem allotted for the Anniversary of the tomb of Gulam Ali Meer Sudoor, I have the honour to bring to your notice, my Claims for the said allowance & to convince you, I enclose herein the copy of the first petition dated 9th January 1887 presented by me to His Excellency the Dewan of Mysore —

My father Gulam Ahmatulla Saheb Kurishee was for a period of nearly 34 years, receiving a personal allowance of Rs 47-6-0 & Fathia allowance to meet the Expenses of the Anniversary. After his death on the 8th January 1877

2/3 of personal allowance Rs 81-9-4 was granted to my mother Fatcha Begum who died last year. The fatha allowance was then continued in the name of my uncle Gulam Ali Nowsay Raza Saheb Kureshee the elder brother of my father who died in November 86. I am the 3d son of Gulam Ammatullee Saheb Kureshee who was drawing the fatha allowance & a legal heir to claim for the said allowance—

Under the circumstances stated above, I request that you will be pleased to consider my claims & pass your favourable opinion thereon If you are not satisfied with the contents of my petition, I request that you will be good enough to address the Collector of Trichinopoly requesting to take a statement from me for the alleged rights to receive

the said Fatigue Allowance & send the same to you

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
[signature]
Inspector of Police G Div.
Kululaba
Tschwokolay District

True Copy

9th January 1882
Kulitalai

To

The Excellency the Dewan of
His Highness the Maharajah
of Mysore

The humble petition of Mohomed Inzullah
Saheb Korishee & nephew of Ghulam Ali —
Moodey Rajak Korishee Mysore pensioner —
Most respectfully sheweth,

That your Excellency's petitioner
begs to state, that his deceased father Gulam
Azmatullah Saheb Kurishee was in receipt
of a personal allowance of Rs 47—6—— & Pathia
allowance of Rs 23 a month for the performance
of the annual ceremonies of the tomb of —
Gulam Ali Meera Sadoot the petitioner's
great grand father. He performed the —
requisite ceremonies for about 33 or 34 years
and breathed his last on the 8th January 1877
when two thirds of his personal allowance

was sanctioned in the name of his wife the petitioner's mother who is still alive enjoying the same & the full Jaitha allowance in the name of the petitioner's uncle Gulam Ali Moosay Razak Kurishee who died on the 5th November 86 without having any male issue. That your Excellency's petitioner is the nephew of the said deceased & has 2 elder brothers at Hydrabad (Deccan) who have no objection, Should the authorities be pleased to continue the allowance in his (petitioner's) name as he was the managing member of the family during the lifetime of his deceased father.

That your Excellency's petitioner submitted a petition to the Political Officer at Vellore praying for the continuance of the said Jaitha allowance in his name and received an endorsement No 145 dated 5th December 1888 to the effect that he could address the

Mysore authorities on the subject. Copy of which is herewith enclosed.

Under the circumstances stated above the petitioner humbly prays that his claims to the enjoyment of the said Jatha allowance may be duly considered & sanction accorded for the continuance of the same in his name

Should your Excellency think that the continuance of the allowance in the name of a Government Servant is objectionable he begs to request that it may be sanctioned in the name of his elder son Goolam Azmatulla-Kurishee.

Your Excellency's petitioner begs to add that similar allowance are allowed to other public servants who are & who were in a better position than the petitioner. For example Diwan Ansarudin Saheb Bahadur the Presidency Magistrate of Madras

and his brother. Gulam Dustagir Sahib
Sayid the Son in law of the petitioner's
deceased uncle who was alleged to be
claimant for the said allowance
was burnt in the Madras Park fire

For which act of Charity
the petitioner as in duty bound ever
pray.

The petitioner most humbly begs to remain
His Excellency's
Most Obedient & Humble Servant
(Signed) Mohomed Abdullah Kuriyhee
Inspector of Police C.I. Div.
Kulitalai

(True Copy)
Abdullah
24/5/88

Ennes to arze
lio 24
1888

Accer

Bad



Ismalle Saib.

2



Ismalla Saib.
6.
Bgal 31-7-6.
Comy Lists.

A — Eldest Son of Gulam Rasool Croshi.
B — 2d Son of A.
C — 1st Son of A.
D & E — Husband & wife and also Parents of F.
A-B+C — Receive the Jattica allowance successively.
F+G — are the present Claimants.

العبد
محمد حسن سید
خاتون بیگم

Le. Lt Cumming
Resident
5th April 1848.

Regn. No. 24

(General Serial No. 30)

3835

1888.

379

From The Asst to the Resident in Impore —

To

8/7/1891

2466/101

Dated 16/8/88

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 6

General No. 2758

No. 398

For 8. Copy of letter No. 87 of 3/8/88 from the Political Officer Vellore and enclosures regarding furnishing information regt. the head of the family of the late Sheed Ghulam Ali

Regn.

Salem Chowry

25

25/8/13

No. 2758/398 En 6

FROM

THE ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE

To

The Dewan of Mysore

Dated, Bangalore, 16 August 188 8.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 5866-458 dated 7th January 1888, I am directed to forward copy of a letter No. 87 dated 3rd August 1888 from the Political officer, Vellore and its enclosures in original furnishing information

re guarding the Heads of
the Family of the late
Ameer Ghulam Ali.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. Servt.

J. Masters Jun.
Assistant to the Resident

Lucknow
1/5

Vellore Political Office.
Camp Palmanir 3d Aug. 1888

No 87.
From.

James Andrew Esqr
Political Officer
Vellore.

To.

The Assistant to the
Resident in Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your letter No 294-398 dated 26th January 1888, Calling for information as to (1) who is the present recognized head of the family of Mir Gulam Ali and (2) who may be entrusted with the fatiqa allowance of Rs 23 per month granted to defray the Cost of the Ceremonies performed in honor of the said Mir Gulam Ali, I have the honor to report as follows.

1. As regards the 1st point, enquiry shows that Mahomed Ali Korishi the claimant referred to, is the

[illegible] the Family of the
Sultan Tipu Sahib
[illegible] the Sons Mahomed Shah one of the
Sons of Futteh Hyder Sahib and the
Sons of Mahomed Yar Sahib Son by a daughter
of Tippu Sahib Mirza Khan Sahib
the last Grandson of the Father allow-
ance is dead no one may be entitled
to the allowance

3 A Genealogical Table Shewing
the descent of the said Mahomed
Ali Khan and Mahomed Hya-
ud-din Sahib who died for your in-
formation

4 Petition presented by Ma
homed Ali Khan and Maho-
med Hyguilla Sahebare also
enclosed

I have &c
Sd J Andrew
Political Officer

Copy

Geo Clerke
Secretary to Govt

dR

Read:-

Mahomed Azizulla, Police Inspector, Kulitalai.
Jippu Bi
Fathenia Begum
Khadeeun-nisa Begum
Amir Bi.
Abbasi Begum.
Mahomed abdulkhader
Mahomed Ali Khrooky

Petns from the marginally noted members of Mir Gulam Aly's family, each praying for the grant of the ~~Fathiha~~ Allowance of Rs 23 per mensem, ~~for~~ made for the maintenance of certain services at the tomb of the said Gulam Ali at Arcot.

Letter No. 87 of the 3d. Augt 1888 from the Political Officer at Vellore to the Agent to the Resident in Mysore stating that Mahomed Jaja-ud-din Sahib should not be entrusted with the said Fathiha allowance.

No 4470/332 92-8-90 5

Order thereon:-

In accordance with the recommendation of the Political Officer at Vellore, the Govt are pleased to direct that the Fathiha allowance

of Rs 23 per mensem above
referred to, be paid from the commencement of the current Fy
to
Mahomed ~~Abi~~ Jiya-ad-din
Saheb Syed (grandson,
by daughter of Gulam
Ali Musa Raza, the
last grantee of the allowance)
on the condition of his
rendering an account
of the expenditure actually
incurred, annually,
thro' the local officers
at Arcot.

~~Chief Secy~~

7/8/90

To The Comptroller.

Petn. Branch or Revd. Branch
Endt. to Petrs.

To 30/9/11

The Comptroller

Dept 1890

To the Treasurer

11/10/11 Rec'd.

12/Receiving Order

C. No. 67

(General Series No. 34)

No. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15

Copy.

ENDORSEMENT.

No. In reply to his Petition, dated

11 Tilsim Bee
12 Mohd Azizulla Police Inspector, Kulbalai.
3 Golam Mohamed Ally
4 Mohamed Abdul Kader
5 Abbasee Begum widow Golamali Imsaraja is informed that the Govt. are pleased to direct that the Pattadar's Allowance of Rs 23 per annum above referred to, be paid from the commencement of the current Official year to Mahamed Jiya-ud-din Saheb Syed (Grandson, by daughter, of Gulam Ali Imsa Raza, the last grantee of the allowance) on condition of his rendering an account of the expenditure actually incurred, annually, through the local Officers at Arcot

By Order,
of the Secretary,
Under Secretary.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
Residency
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
Bangalore 25th August 1890

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—1-2-90.—1,000

C. No. 67
No. Recd: on 8/10/90.
1693
10
To
428 11/10/90

HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE IN COUNCIL.

The humble Petition of Mahomed Azizullah Khorishee, Police Inspector of Perambalur Taluq, Trichinopoly District.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HIGHNESS IN COUNCIL,

(1) That your Highness' humble petitioner, with due submission & profound respects, begs, with reference to the marginally noted Endorsement from your Highness Dewan, in continuation of his Petition No. $\frac{2134}{34}$ of 8—9—87, to lay the following few lines before your Highness in Council and solicits reconsideration of the claims therein set forth.

(2) That your Highness' petitioner's father (Ghulam Azmathullah Sahib Khorishee) enjoyed uninterruptedly the Fathiha allowance therein alluded to for a period of more than 30 years, and performed the usual duties for which it was intended without any complaints.

(3) That after his father's death in 1878 this allowance was continued to your Highness' petitioner's paternal uncle (Ghulam Ali Mosai Rozah Saib Khorishee) the last grantee as he was the surviving elder brother of the petitioner's father.

(4) That this last recipient of the Fathiha allowance died without male issue some years ago.

(5) That it would appear to your Highness in Council that this allowance has always been enjoyed only by the direct lineal decendants of the family to which your Highness' humble petitioner belongs, and has never devolved on heirs decended from female members of the family, who are separated from it and belong to a different family by marriage. The counter claimant's right is not therefore preferable to that of the petitioner and is not only against the mamool & custom of the family but also against the Mohamadan Law which respects more the claims of your Highness' humble Petitioner (the last grantee's full brother's son) than those of the counter claimant who is only the last grantee's grandson by doughter.

(6) That your Highness' petitioner therefore humbly craves that your Highness in Council may be graciously pleased, in consideration of his valid claims, to set aside the order passed against him and continue the above Fathiha allowance either in his name or in that of his eldest son Ghulam Azmathullah Khorishee.

For which act of charity and justice your Highness', humble petitioner shall, as in duty bound ever pray.

M. Azizullah

PERAMBALUR TALUQ
Trichinopoly District.
25th September 1890.

Endorsement

No Note can be taken of this Petition as no Endorsements are quoted on the Margin of Copy of the Memorial as stated therein

By Order

Asst Secretary



Memo: Outside Endorsement taken 16/10

Endt. 4 16/10.

No. 1693

Endorsement.

In reply to his — Petition dated 25th September 1890

Mahomed azizullah Khorishee, Police
Inspector of Perumbalur Taluq, Trichi
district.

informed that no notice can be taken o
this petition, as no endorsement
are quoted on the margin of par
of the memorial as stated there

Drafted as per
Ch Secy's order

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Petition Department, 8
October - 189 0.11
Desph. 16 -

By Order,

Under Secretary.

21-10-
Reg'd 81

C. No: 103

No. 1752 Ad 1890

Reg'd 25/10.

To

His Highness
The Maharajah of Mysore.
G. C. S. I.

The humble memorial of Hoor Begum, widow of the late Shulaui ali Khoosaireys a Mysore Pensioner.

Most Respectfully Showeth.

That your memorialist Submitted her appeal to your honor on the 1st September 1890, praying that Letters de Jathinsha Ceremony allowance Sanctioned in the name of Sunhaunna Siad. din Sahib a minor, the situation of which was made to her vide Endorsement dated 25th August 1890 be transferred in the name of Sunhaunna Siad. din Sahib her adopted son and as well as of her deceased husband moreover he is also the Maternal grandson of the late Shulaun ali Khoosaireys Sahib by his Eldest daughter who supports her since her husbands death or to Sanction a Compassionate allowance out of her husbands allowance similar to that granted to his brother Ayma. ullah Sahib

OFFICE OF THE
2-FEB.91
DEWAN OF MYSORE

Arcot
30th January 1891

No. 2680

To
The Secretary
Mysore Dewan's Office
Residency Department

Rec'd 2/2/91

Honored Sir,

The humble petition of Mahomed Jiyauddin Sahib Saiyed respectfully showeth.

1. that the Pathiha monthly allowance of Rs 23 (Twenty three) Sanctioned in your honor's No 11 / 25-8-90 has not yet been paid to the petitioner

2. that the Accountant General, Says in his No A.B.H / dated 24th Instt (Copy hereunto enclosed) that the allowance will be paid on the receipt of your honor's reply his reference.

3. And that poor petitioner therefore begs leave to request your honor will be graciously pleased to direct the allowance be paid at an early date.

Begging to be excused the petition respectfully begs leave to remain

Most Honored Sir

Your most Obt & humble Servant

(Sd) Mahomed Jiyauddin Sahib Saiyed

Arcot

cyro
90

No A. B. 11
/
Audit slip

Accts. Genls Office
dated 24th Jany 1891

Vide his petition of Arcot 12th Jany 1891

The petitioner is informed that the P.P.O. referred to, will be issued on receipt of reply to a reference made by this office to the Comptroller of Mysore. (True copy) (Signed)

General Superintendant for
Accountant General

To

Mohamed Jizanuddin Saib Saiyed
Political Pensioner
Arcot

28/11/90

General Serial No. 84)

29

ENDORSEMENT.

In reply to his No 1786/98 Petition, dated 3rd November 1890 of Mohamed Azizullah Khorishee, Police Inspector of Perambalur Taluq, Trichinopoly District ——————— is informed that the orders already passed were issued after a full consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, and no sufficient reason is shown to reconsider those orders.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
~~PETITION~~ DEPARTMENT,
Bangalore 29th January 1891.

By Order,
[illegible]
Secretary.

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—1-2-90.—1,000

28/11/90
Resd
No. 91

1786

To.

6628 dt 10/11/90

The Under Secretary to the
Government of
Mysore

Rec'd 29/11
This is not the
proper way of
appeal papers.
This should have
been docketed -
done Sd/ 29/11/90
stating that the
orders already passed
were arrived after
a full consideration
of the facts even
of the case & no fresh
reasons in them
accordingly
returned
Sd/
29/11/90

Respected Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No: 1693 dated the 8th October 1890, I respectfully beg to submit herewith another appeal petition duly quoting on its margin the number and date of the endorsement against the appeal has been preferred and pray that it may be laid before His Highness the Maha Rajah in Council for final disposal.

I beg to remain
Respected Sir
Your humble
servant

Perambalur
3rd November 1890

To

HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJAH OF MYSORE IN COUNCIL.

The humble Petition of Mahomed Azizullah Khorishee, Police Inspector of Perambalur Taluq, Trichinopoly District.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HIGHNESS IN COUNCIL,

Lr 12
25-8-90

(1) That your Highness' humble petitioner, with due submission & profound respects begs, with reference to the marginally noted Endorsement from your Highness Dewan, in continuation of his Petition No. $\frac{2134}{34}$ of 8—9—87, to lay the following few lines before your Highness in Council and solicits reconsideration of the claims therein set forth.

(2) That your Highness' petitioner's father (Ghulam Azmathullah Sahib Khorishee) enjoyed uninterruptedly the Fathiha allowance therein alluded to for a period of more than 30 years, and performed the usual duties for which it was intended without any complaints.

(3) That after his father's death in 1878 this allowance was continued to your Highness' petitioner's paternal uncle (Ghulam Ali Mosai Rozah Saib Khorishee) the last grantee as he was the surviving elder brother of the petitioner's father.

(4) That this last recipient of the Fathiha allowance died without male issue some years ago.

(5) That it would appear to your Highness in Council that this allowance has always been enjoyed only by the direct lineal decendants of the family to which your Highness' humble petitioner blongs, and has never devolved on heirs decended from female members of the family, who are separated from it and belong to a different family by marriage. The counter claimant's right is not therefore preferable to that of the petitioner and is not only against the mamool & custom of the family but also against the Mohamadan Law which respects more the claims of your Highness' humble Petitioner (the last grantee's full brother's son) than those of the counter claimant who is only the last grantee's grandson by doughter.

(6) That your Highness' petitioner therefore humbly craves that your Highness in Council may be graciously pleased, in consideration of his valid claims, to set aside the order passed against him and continue the above Fathiha allowance either in his name or in that of his eldest son Ghulam Azmathullah Khorishee.

For which act of charity and justice your Highness' humble petitioner shall, as in duty bound ever pray.

PERAMBALUR TALUQ
Trichinopoly District.
31st. ~~September~~ October 1890.

M Azizullah

4-3-91
Residency Gen
--- 129

(General Serial No. 32.)

1890-91.

No. 2680.

Name of Petitioner. Mohomed Jiya-
-huddin Sahib Saiyed.

Village.

Taluk. } Arcot.

District.

Date of Arzi. 30th January 1891.

Date of Receipt. 2nd February 1891.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. One

ABSTRACT.

Prays for an order for the early payment of the Fatiha monthly allowance of Rs 23. sanctioned by the Government in G.O. No. 11 25-8-90.

No. 34

Mysore Dewan's Office,
Residency ~~Petition~~ Department.

Official Memorandum.

The Accompanying Petition No. 2680/129, dated 30th January 1891 received at the Dewan's Office from Mohomed Jiya Coddin Sahib Sanjeb Arcot

is forwarded, together with its one enclosures, to the Comptroller's office Mysore for submission of early report thereon ~~in the matter~~.

Bangalore,
1st March 1891.

By Order,
Under Secretary.

Mysore Government, Central Press, Bangalore.—25-7-89.—1,000.

No. 5041

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
10 MAR 91
MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,
Bangalore, 9th March 1891.

From

A. RANGASWAMI IYENGAR, Esq,
Comptroller of Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of
Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memo No. 34 of the 6th March 1891 forwarding for report petition No. 2680 of 30th January 1891 of Mohamed Siya Uddin Sahib Saiyed Arcot, with its enclosure, I have the honor to state that the reference made to this office by the Accountant General Madras on the 23rd January 1891 was replied to on the 28th Idem, and it is probable that the necessary instructions have been issued by the Accountant General Madras to the authorities at Arcot for the disbursement of the Hatiale allowance.

The enclosure of your Memo under reply is returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant.

A. Rangaswamy
Comptroller.

13-3-91
Res 7 Rev
136

(General Serial No. 30) OFFICE OF THE 11478

189

From The Comptroller of Mysore

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 9th March 91

Despatched 10th March 91

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 5841
No.

Stating that a reply to a reference made by the Accts. General Madras relative to the Pathika Allowance of Mahomed Jinjanddin Sahib Saiyad of Arcot was sent on the 28th January

Reference
Cs
12/8/91

Returned has since admitted in one of his letters to the reference & hence the allowance has since been stopped to him.

The number file
Cs
12/5/91

A3

To,

Received

31st March/89
Arcot.

The Secretary

Mysore Devan's office

Residency Department

Most honoured Sir

The humble petition of Mahomed Jiyauddeen Sahib Saiyid the political pensioner No. 11 most respectfully Showeth.

that on the 14th ultimo the petitioner had dropped in a petition to your honour's address requesting that the undisbursed arrears be at on early date paid to him of his pension for the period of 3 years and 4 months — the period less one year Since the demise of the late pensioner Goolam Moosay Razor Sahib Khurashi — during which the petitioner had regularly performing the services of the tomb to which the pension is attached, but which unfortunately is not yet favored by any answer, and therefore most humbly requests your honour will be pleased to relieve the poor petitioner from the heavy pressure of his Creditors from whom he was obliged to seek for help Since the demise of the late pensioner to keep up the regular performance of the Services & who are inadequately compensated for as it is obvious For how could the expenses of 4 years & 4 months be Squared up by the arrears paid for one year?

Expecting your honours early and favourable orders the petitioner begs to remain most honoured Sir

Your honour's most obedient and humble Servant.

محمد الدين

17-3-91
Residuary
134

(General Serial No 32)
1890.91.

No.

Name of Petitioner. Mohamed Jiyau ud deen Saheb Sanyed the Petitioner Pensioner Arcot

Village. Arcot

Taluk.

District.

Date of Arzi. 14-March 1891

Date of Receipt. 17-3-91

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. none -

ABSTRACT.

States that he has been doing service in the tomb for the last four years & months, but the remuneration received is only for one year and begs that orders may also be passed to pay the balance. —

14-5-91

To,
Rechd per post
Freem[illegible]
17 Mar 1891

Resp[ec]t[fu]l 137 14th March 1891
Arcot

The Secretary
Mysore Dewan's office
Residency Department

Most honoured Sir

The humble petition of Mahomed Jiya-ud-deen Sahib Saiyid the political pensioner No. 11 most respectfully showeth.

(1) that the poor petitioner has been paid in the Arcot Sub treasury, the Jattiaba allowance for the current official year 1890 to 91

(2) that, since the death of the petitioner's grand father Goolam moosay Raza Sahib Khurashi on the 6th November 1886 the petitioner has regularly been doing the services of the tomb of Meer Sudur Goolam Alli Bakshi;

(3) that the Sub Collector of Vellore has on the enquiry of the Arcot Tasildhar, submitted to your honour's office his report on the truth of the poor petitioner's continuance of the tomb.

(4) that it is four years and four months since the demise of the late pentioner Goolam moosay Raza Sahib Khurashi and for one year of the period (4 years and 4 months) the poor petitioner has been paid the allowance and, for the remaining portion (3 years + 4 months) of the period the allowance thus remains unpaid to the petitioner.

(5) that the poor petitioner has run into debt on account of the performance of the services of the tomb without allowance during the back period (of 3 years and 4 months)

(6) that the poor petitioner prays that your gracious honour will be pleased to order the arrears of allowance to be paid to the poor petitioner at an early date.

(7) and that, being ordered to submit accounts of expen. through the, Arcot Tasildhar, the obedient petitioner is prepared to sumit accounts on the 1st proximo the begining of the next official year.

Expecting your honour's early and favourable orders the petitioner begs to remain

Most honoured Sir

Your honour's most obedient and humble servant

Signed Mahomed Jiya-ud-deen saibe Saig.

3-4-91
Rept 9.0
4

(General Serial No. 32.)

1891-92

No.

Name of Petitioner. Mohamed Jiyauddeen Sahib Sayed

Village. Arcot

Taluk. "

District. "

Date of Arzi. 31-3-91

Date of Receipt. 3-4-91.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. none

ABSTRACT.

States that his petition of 14th March 1891. remains undisposed of and begs that orders may be passed for arears of pension as he performed the tomb services incurring debts. —

9/
K 36

29-4-91
Res/Jo
614

(General Serial No. 39)

OFFICE
28 APR. 91
DEWAN OF MYSORE

189 .

From The Asst to the Resident
in Mysore

To

Dated 28th April/91
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 1288
No. 39-8

Forwarding Inclosures
Major and Sahib Ajis
account showing the
expenditure actually
incurred during 1890-91
on account of certain
services at the tomb
of Hiss Sulaiman Ali
at Arcot.

Resident &c
Seringapatam
CA
28/4/91

The account named for
1890-91 may be dropped
CA
12/5/91

A.1

No. $\frac{1288}{398}$ Sn/

FROM

THE ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 28th April 1891.

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 4469-210 dated the 2nd August 1890, I am directed to forward Mohammed Jaiya-ud-din sahib Syed's account, received through the Collector of North Arcot, showing the expenditure actually incurred during the year 1890-91 on account of certain services at the tomb of Mir Gulam Ali at Arcot.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Captain,

Asstt to the Resident.

To

The Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.

No. 2466/101 of 3/4 June 1891

Sir.

In accordance with the recommendation of the Political officer Vellore, which was transmitted with your letter No. 2758/398 of the 16th August 1888 a Fahita allowance of Rs 23 per mensem was granted with effect from the 1st April 1890 to Mahomed Jiya-ud-din Saheb Syed for the performance of certain services daily at the tomb of Meer Gulam Ali at Arcot.

This individual now claims the allowance in arrears on the ground of his having performed the said services for three years previously.

I am to request the favour of the Political officer, Vellore, being

1891

To,

The Assistant to the Resident
in Mysore

Dy 3d June/91

No. 2466
101

Requesting that the Political Officer, Vellore, may be asked to ascertain from what date Mahomed Jiya-ud-din Saheb Syed has been performing certain services at the tomb of Meer Gulam Ali at Arcot.

...ested to kindly ascertain from what date the said Mahmud Jiya ud-din Saheb Syed has been performing the services in question.

I have the honorable
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

for Chief Secretary

Residency No
45

General Serial No. 352
1891-92

~~Cuddapah 538~~
No. 585

Name of Petitioner.	Mohomed jiyaud deen Sahib Syed -
Village.	
Taluk.	
District.	Arcot
Date of Arzi.	17-6-91
Date of Receipt.	14-7-91
Date of Disposal.	
No. of Enclosures.	nil

ABSTRACT.

Stating that he has been regularly conducting the rites or Services of the tomb of Meer Sudeer goolam Ali Bakshee since 6th November 1886 as has been reported by the Sub-Collector of Vellor and prays therefore that the arrears of allowance for 3 years & 4 months which still remains unpaid. - to him be ordered to be disbursed early -

To, dated 23-6-91

The Secretary

Mysore Dewan's office

Residency Department

Mysore

Rec'd 24 June 1891

Arcot-

No. 885 Regd.

Most Honoured Sir

The humble petition of Mahomed Jiyauddeen Sahib Saiyid the political pensioner No 447/332 most respectfully showeth

That the poor petitioner has been paid in the Arcot Sub treasury the Fasliahia allowance for the current official year 1890 to 1891,

That, since the death of the petitioners grand father Goolam Ali Moosay Kazee Sahib Khurashi on the 6th November 1886, the petitioner has been regularly hereditary the Services of the tomb of Meer Sudur Goolam Alli Bakshi,

That the Sub Collector of Vellore has on the enquiry of the Arcot Tasildhar, Submitted to your Honour's office his report on the truth of the poor petitioner's Continuance of the performance of the Services of the tomb

That it is four years and four months since the demise of the late pensioner Goolam Alli Moosay Kazee Sahib Khurashi and for one year of the period (4 years and 4 months) the poor petitioner has been paid the allowance and for the remaining portion (3 years and 4 months) of the period the allowance thus remains unpaid to the poor petitioner,

That the poor petitioner has run into

into debt on account of the performance of the services of the tomb without allowance during the back period (of 3 years and 4 months)

That the poor petitioner prays that your gracious honour will be pleased to order the arrears of allowance – to be paid to the poor petitioner at an early date.

Expecting your honour's early and favourable orders the petitioner begs to remain most honoured Sir

Your honors most obedient
and humble servant

(Signed) Mahomed Jizaruddeen Sahib
Saiyid
محمد الدين سيد

No 13.

Endorsement

In reply to his Petition No: 585/45 dated 17th June 1891 Mahamed Jeja uddeen Saheb Syed a Political Pensioner Arcot, is informed that his petition will be disposed of on the receipt of a reply from the Political officer at Vellore who has been requested through the Resident to furnish information as to from what date the Petitioner has been performing the service at the tomb of Meer Gulam ali at Arcot.

Mysore Dewans Office
Residency Deptt
Bangalore 16/22 July 1891.

By order
[signature]
Under Secretary

52

(General Serial No. 32.)

1891-92

No.

Name of Petitioner. Mohomed Jiyaneddeen Sahib Saiyed Arcot

Village.

Taluk. Arcot

District.

Date of Arzi. 1-8-91

Date of Receipt. 5-8-91

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil

ABSTRACT.

Stating that the report in connection with the Enquiry made in the Arcot Taluk office about the Services at the tomb of Meer Sudoor Goolam ali has been sent to the Collector and requested Early orders for the payment of arrears already claimed—

5-8-91
Revenue No 52

To, 18th August 1.
Arcot

Petition for Exemption from Police Duty

The Chief Secretary
Mysore Dewan's office
Residency Department

Most Honoured Sir

The humble petition of Mahomed Jiyauddeen Sahib Saiyed the political pensioner No. 4470/332 Most respectfully showeth.

In obedience to your honour's Endorsement No. 13 Dt. 16-7-91 I humbly beg to bring the following few lines to your honour's Kind Consideration and perusal

About my petition to your honour for the arrears of pay due to me from 6th November 1886 to 1st April 1890 an enquiry was made in the Taluq office Arcot about my services at the tomb of Meer Sudoor Goolam Alli at Arcot and a report it appears has been m to the Treasury Deputy Collector North Arc

I humbly request that early orders may be passed for the payment of the arrears due to me.

I beg to remain
Honoured Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) Mahomed Jiyauddeen Sahib Saiy

2/A 36

(General Serial No. 30). 4353

189/ 311

OFFICE OF THE ... DE VAN OF MYSORE

From The Asst to the Resident in Mysore

To

Dated 6 August 91

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 2869

No. 398

Intimating that Mahomed Ziya-ud-din Saheb Sjo has been performing services at the tomb of Meer Sultan Ali at Arcot since his grandfather's death

The Govt of Madras

Sanction accordingly

21/7/1891

Sanction accordingly

189

Aug 6-8-91

No. 2369 / 39·8

FROM

THE ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 6th August 1891

SIR,

In reply to your letter No. 2466/101 dated the 5th June 1891, I am directed to state that it has been ascertained from the Collector and District Magistrate of North Arcot that Mahomed Liyâ-ud-din Saheb Syad has been performing services at the tomb of Meer Gulam Ali at Arcot since his grandfathers death which took place on the 6th November 1886.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedt servant
[illegible]
Captain
to the Resident

7 to 1827
A

True Copy Arcot.

Memo

cretary
Office

With reference to his petition dated 4th Instant, Mahomed Jiyauddeen Sahib Saiyed is informed that recommendation has been made to the Mysore Government on the 27th July 1891 regarding the arrears due to him and no orders have yet been received.

(Signed) Sannatha Iyer
Deputy Collector

North Arcot
Collector's office
Chittoor 7th August
1891
Compared by.

To
Mahomed Jiyauddeen Sahib
Saiyed Mysore Pensioner at Arcot

To

Resy no 65

Arcot.

The Chief Secretary
Mysore Dewan's office
Residency Department
Mysore

Most Honoured Sir

The humble petition of Mahomed Jiyaudeen Sahib Saiyed the political pensioner no 4470/332 most respectfully showeth!

In continuation of my petition dated 1st August 1891 to your honour I humbly beg to inform you that I have not yet been favoured with the arrears due to me from 6th November 1886 to 1st April 1889 for services rendered to the Tomb Meer Suthur Goolam Ali at Arcot

I have asked the Treasury Deputy Collector North Arcot to inform me wether any orders received from Mysore Government regarding my due arrears, that officer in his memo no 1827 dated 7th August 1891 informs me that recommendation have made to mysore Government on the July 1891 regarding the arrears due but no order have yet been receiv Copy of which here in enclosed for perusal

Therefore crave the mercy of your honour to obtain me the due

at an early date for which act of kindness
I shall ever pray.

I beg to remain
Honored Sir
Your most obedient servant
(Signed) Mahomed Jiyauddeen Sahib
Saiyed Arcat

7/A36

To

The Comptroller

Dy 30th Septr 1891.

No 7621
R 429.

Sanctn the disbursement to Mr. Jaiya-ud-din Salib Syed, the Tahtika allowance of Rs. 23/= per mensem sanctd in Ors: N° 4470/332 d/2nd Augt 1890

Read again:

Petition dated 4 and 31 March last from Mahomed Jaya-ud-din Sahib praying for the grant with retrospective effect of the Fatiha allowance sanctioned to him in Proc No. 4470/332 of the 2nd August 1890 on the ground that he had been performing the required Fatiha Ceremonies at the tomb of Ameer Sultan ale for 3 years previous.

Read also:

Letter No 2369/39.8 of the 6 August 1891 from the Asst to the Resident intimating that it has been ascertained from the Collector of North arcot that the Petitioner has been performing the said services since his grandfathers death which took place on the 6 Nov: 1886.

No. 762/429 Dt. 30-9-91 Order thereon.

In the circumstances,

the Fatiha allowance
of Rs 23 per mensem
sanctioned in Prov. No
4420/332 of the 2nd August 1890
will be disbursed to the
Petitioner with effect from
the 7th November 1886.

By Order
Chief Sy

To the Comptroller.

Mr. Secretary -
Mr. Secretary's Office
Nov 25 1890

1591 Rd. 30-9-91
293 5-10-91
Encl

To

The asst to the Resident
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 2369/39.8 of the 6th Sept 1891, I am directed to forward for favor of communication to the Collector of North arcot Copy of proceedings of the Sanc. with retrospective effect turning the grant of a Fatiha allowance to Mahomed Jaya-ud-din Saib Syed for the performance of Fatiha Ceremonies at the tomb of Meer Sulaiman ali at arcot.

I have &c

Illegible
Chief

2/17 35

(General Serial No. 30).

1891/92

From

To The Asst to the Resdt

Dated 30/9

Despatched 5th October 1891

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 7591

No. 293.

Ford.g for communication
to the Collector of South
Arcot copy of Pro: Sanct.
Grant, with retrospective
effect, of a Patilca allow
-ance to Wd. Sanja-ud-
Saib Ayer for the
performance of Patilca ceremonies at
the tomb of Dewi Sahaun
Ali at Arcot